

Disentangled cascade adaptive optics for the SPHERE instrument forthcoming upgrade

N. Galland, C. Kulcsár, H.-F. Raynaud, C. Goulas, C. Béchet, F. Ferreira, M. Tallon, F. Vidal, M. Langlois, A. Boccaletti, G. Chauvin, E. Diolaiti, R. Gratton, M. Loupiau, J. Milli, F. Wildi

The Spectro-Polarimetric High-contrast Exoplanet REsearch instrument (SPHERE) is a European Southern Observatory exo-planet imaging instrument installed on the 8m Very Large Telescope at Paranal (Chile). It has been operated for over 8 years since its commissioning in 2014 [1, 2]. Exoplanets observations rely on the quality of the adaptive optics correction which should provide the best possible imaging contrast. Considering the already achieved results, it has been decided to define new science objectives through an upgrade of this instrument within the SPHERE+ project [3]. In particular, the AO system main update will consist in the addition of a second stage (SAXO+) of correction that will take as input the residual phase of the current SPHERE AO system resulting in a Cascade AO (CAO) system. The second stage will use a pyramid wavefront sensor (WFS), taking advantage of the fact that the residual phase of the first stage will be already partially corrected allowing the use of a high sensitivity WFS. It should also run at a nominal frequency two to three times faster than the first stage.

As a part of the design process for this new AO system, we propose to perform simulation regarding the specificities of CAO systems. We propose to explore especially the implementation of disentangled CAO (dCAO), see Figure 1. When the two AO stages operate at different rates, the residual phase entering the 2nd stage includes an oversampled version of the slower 1st stage correction. This oversampling generates a non-stationary high-frequency see-saw signal which cannot be efficiently compensated by a linear time-invariant 2nd stage controller [4]. The proposed disentanglement procedure enables to compensate for this effect, with the control effort computed by the faster 2nd stage effectively split between the two DMs [5]. This procedure has been shown to improve significantly the image raw contrast with respect to two loops managed separately. This dCAO structure will be here designed and evaluated in the context of SAXO+ and compared with CAO using the COMPASS [6] end-to-end simulator. Controlling both loops with different RTCs or with a single one that drives all the components will be discussed in the light of the results obtained.




Figure 1: The standard CAO structure (left) and the dCAO scheme where the 1st stage control u_1 is used to offload the 2nd stage control (right).

References

- [1] J.-L. Beuzit et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 631, A155 (2019)
- [2] C. Petit et al. *Adaptive Optics Systems IV*. Vol. 9148. SPIE (2014)
- [3] A. Boccaletti et al., *Sphere+ white paper*, arXiv:2003.05714 (2020)
- [4] N. Cerpa-Urra et al., *JATIS*, Vol. 8, Issue 1, 019001 (2022)
- [5] C. Kulcsár et al., *2020 WFS workshop, Porto, Portugal* (2020)
- [6] F. Ferreira et al., *2018 HPCS, Orléans, France*, pp. 180-187 (2018)